ROTHERHAM BOROUGH COUNCIL – REPORT TO SCHOOLS FORUM

| 1 | Meeting: | Schools Forum |
|---|----------|---|
| 2 | Date: | 2 nd March 2012 |
| 3 | Title: | Rotherham Total Schools Budget Monitoring Report to 31 st January 2012 |

4 Summary

This Budget Monitoring Report provides a financial forecast for the Rotherham Total Schools Budget to the end of March 2012 based on actual income and expenditure to the end of January 2012 and the most up to date financial forecast from individual schools.

The forecast for the financial year 2011/12 at this stage is $\pounds4,475k$ under spend (- 2.43%) before agreed carry forwards. The position after carry forward of balances is $\pounds64k$ (- 0.03%) under spend.

5 Recommendations

That the Schools Forum receives and notes the latest financial projection against budget for the year based on actual income and expenditure to the end of January 2012.

6 Proposals and Details

| 6.1.1 | The table below sur | nmarises the forecast | t outturn against a | areed budaets: |
|-------|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|----------------|
| 0.1.1 | | | t outtann againet a | gioca baagoto. |

| Service | Revised Budget | Actual Spend to 31 st January 2012 £000 | Forecast Outturn Position to 31 st March 2012 £000 | Variation (Revised Budget v Outturn) | |
|--|-------------------|---|---|--|-----------------|
| | £000 | | 2000 | £000 | % |
| Autism Communication Team | 170 | 145 | 169 | -1 | -0.59 |
| Behaviour Support | 579 | 489 | 578 | -1 | -0.17 |
| Maltby BEST | 10 | 5 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| Children & Families Special Needs Service | 224 | 198 | 227 | +3 | +1.34 |
| Children in Public Care | 152 | 120 | 141 | -11 | -7.24 |
| Early Intervention Team | 54 | 54 | 54 | 0 | 0 |
| Early Years ASD Support | 93 | 72 | 86 | -7 | -7.49 |
| Home to EOTAS Transport | 20 | 20 | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| Private, Voluntary & Independent Nursery Education | 2,529 | 2,199 | 2,529 | 0 | 0 |
| Education Welfare Central Attendance | 17 | 15 | 17 | 0 | 0 |
| Hearing Impaired Service | 590 | 555 | 587 | -3 | -0.51 |
| Learning Support Service | 327 | 606 | 314 | -13 | 3.97 |
| Operational Safeguarding Unit | 126 | 107 | 126 | 0 | 0 |
| Free School Meals Assessment | 36 | 35 | 31 | -5 | -14.08 |
| Portage | 204 | 196 | 207 | +3 | +1.47 |
| Pupil Referral Units | 2,456 | 2,434 | 2,503 | +47 | +1.91 |
| Y10/11 RCAT Children | 10 | 3 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| Resources and Business Strategy | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| School Effectiveness Service | 1,152 | 1,110 | 1,136 | -16 | -1.39 |
| School Catering Service | 405 | 347 | 405 | 0 | 0 |
| Schools Contingency | 428 | 57 | 436 | +8 | +1.87 |
| Maintenance of Premises | 100 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 0 |
| Private Finance Initiative (PFI) | 3,233 | 3,233 | 3,233 | 0 | 0 |
| SEN Assessment Team | 33 | 35 | 35 | +2 | +6.06 |
| SEN Transport to Extra District Schools | 101 | 60 | 101 | 0 | 0 |
| Special Educational Needs SEN Extra District Placements | 2,263 | 2,064 | 2,367 -257 | +104 -74 | +4.60 -40.44 |
| Trade Union Activities | -183 | -244 44 | -257 | -74 +5 | -40.44 +8.86 |
| Visual Impaired Service | 419 | 371 | 411 | -8 | -1.91 |
| Young People's Service | 28 | 24 | 28 | -o 0 | -1.91 |
| Risky Business | 45 | 31 | 45 | 0 | 0 |
| Centrally Managed Services Plus: | 15,678 | 14,483 | 15,711 | -33 | -0.21 |
| Delegated Schools Budgets | 163,117 | 143,519 | 158,956 | -4,161 | -2.55 |
| School Rates | 2,260 | 2,305 | 2,305 | +45 | +2.01 |
| Rotherham School Improvement Partnership | 767 | -142 | 767 | 0 | 0 |
| Former Specific Grants | 2,182 | 1,704 | 1,788 | -393 | -18.01 |
| TOTAL FORECAST OUTTURN POSITION | 184,004 | 161,819 | 179,529 | -4,475 | -2.43 |
| Less: Carry forwards | | | | | |
| Forecast School Balances | | | | 4,161 | |
| Extended Services Sustainability | | | | 250 | |
| ADJUSTED TOTAL FORECAST OUTTURN POSITION | | | | -64 | -0.03 |

6.1.2 Presented below is an analysis of the main pressures and the underlying reasons beneath them:

Pupil Referral Units +£47k

Forecast over spends at the Bridge PRU (additional costs of fees from Rotherham College of Arts and Technology and staffing costs), Rowan Centre (no budget for rates), Riverside PRU (rates, indirect employee costs, supplies and transport) and Broom Lane PRU (overspends on staffing and building works).

These over spends have been offset by under spends at the Alternative Resource Centre (premises costs), Education Other than at School (increased income projection) and St Mary's (indirect employee expenses, supplies, premises).

Special Educational Needs +£104k

Forecast over spend resulting from an increase in the contribution to external placements and an additional contribution to Broom Lane PRU offset by under spends due to changes in numbers of placements in Rotherham Schools.

School Rates +£45k

Increase in rates charges due to re-valuations of school premises.

6.1.3 These pressures have been offset by the following forecast under spends:-

Centrally Managed Services -£139k

The under spends are outlined in the table in 6.1.1.

Delegated Schools Budgets -£4,161k

Overall forecast under spend based on budget monitoring returns from individual schools as at 30th November 2011.

Former Specific Grants -£393k

Includes an agreed carry forward on Extended Services of -£250k (Schools Forum Minute 180 13th May 2011) to allow the service to continue until the end of August 2012. A further under spend of -£143k from Former Specific Grants transferred in to DSG is to be retained to offset the pressures outlined in 6.1.2.

7. Finance

Finance details are included in section 6 above.

8. Risks and Uncertainties

Principal risks and uncertainties relate to the 'needs led' nature of budgets in relation to Special Educational Needs pupils,

The reductions in other grant funding in 2010/11 and 2011/12 has placed significant pressure on the centrally managed services for schools. Needs-led budgets are currently being used to offset overspends in these areas. There is a risk that demands on these budgets may increase and result in an overspend position on the overall DSG budget.

9. Policy and Performance Agenda Implications

It is the intention of the Department for Education to give schools more autonomy and responsibility for spending decisions. Rotherham has recently formed the Rotherham School Improvement Partnership which is a move towards transferring the responsibility for School Improvement interventions to schools. A Strategic Governance Group is developing plans which set out the future arrangements for Rotherham Schools, including details of the deployment of Resources to support this.

10. Background Papers and Consultation

• Report to Schools Forum on the 24th June 2011.

This report has been discussed with the Strategic Director of Children and Young People's Service and the Strategic Director of Finance.

Contact Name: Joanne Robertson – Finance Manager (Children and Young People's Services), *Financial Services ext: 22041, email Joanne.Robertson@rotherham.gov.uk*